

## GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO SEAL INDICTMENT PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 49(B)

The United States, by and through undersigned counsel, pursuant to Local Rule 49(B) of the Local Criminal Rules for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, asks for an Order to Seal the indictment, until the all defendants are arrested.

## I. REASONS FOR SEALING (Local Rule 49(B)(1))

- 1. Homeland Security Investigations is investigating a scheme to produce and transfer false diplomatic identification cards.
- 2. Premature disclosure of the charges against the defendants would jeopardize an ongoing criminal investigation threatening our ability to locate and arrest the defendants and may lead to the destruction of evidence. Disclosure of the indictment would provide the defendant and others with a roadmap of the ongoing criminal investigation, including the identity of agents and potential witnesses involved.

## II. REFERENCES TO GOVERNING CASE LAW (Local Rule 49(B)(2))

3. The Court has the inherent power to seal indictments. See United States v.

Wuagneux, 683 F.2d 1343, 1351 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982); State of Arizona v. Maypenny, 672 F.2d 761,

765 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1982); Times Mirror Company v. United States, 873 F.2d 1210 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989); see

also Shea v. Gabriel, 520 F.2d 879 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1975); United States v. Hubbard, 650 F.2d 293 (D.C.

Cir. 1980); In re Braughton, 520 F.2d 765, 766 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1975). "The trial court has supervisory power over its own records and may, in its discretion, seal documents if the public's right of access is outweighed by competing interests." In re Knight Pub. Co., 743 F.2d 231, 235 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984). Sealing the indictment is appropriate where there is a substantial probability that the release of the sealed documents would compromise the government's on-going investigation severely. See e.g.

In re Search Warrant for Secretarial Area Outside Office of Gunn, 855 F.2d 569, 574 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988); Matter of Eye Care Physicians of America, 100 F.3d 514, 518 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996); Matter of Flower Aviation of Kansas, Inc., 789 F.Supp. 366 (D. Kan. 1992).

## III. PERIOD OF TIME GOVERNMENT SEEKS TO HAVE MATTER REMAIN UNDER SEAL (Local Rule 49(B)(3))

- 4. The indictment would need to remain sealed until all defendants are arrested.
- 5. Upon occurrence of the event specified in paragraph 2, pursuant to Local Rule 49(B)(3), the sealed materials will be automatically unsealed and handled as such.
- 6. The United States has considered alternatives less drastic than sealing and has found none that would suffice to protect this investigation. The United States will move to unseal the documents before they are set to become automatically unsealed if it determines that circumstances warrant such action.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that the indictment and this Motion to Seal and proposed Order be sealed until all defendants are arrested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dana J. Boente United States Attorney

By:

William E. Johnston

Special Assistant United States Attorney